

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/925,868	09/09/1997	MELIK ISBARA	INPA:035	6180
7590 04/05/2004			EXAMINER	
WILLIAM W. KIDD			WELLS, KENNETH B	
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEVENTH FLOOR			2816	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		OK				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
<b>~</b>	08/925,868	ISBARA, MELIK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kenneth B. Wells	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 F	ebruary 2004.					
•	s action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17,19 and 20 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17,19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 February 2004 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 40.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 08/925,868

Art Unit: 2816

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greenhill et al, cited by applicant, in view of either Gregor or Nair.

As to claims 1 and 6, note Fig. 1, where the recited "transistor" reads on FET M1 and the recited "capacitor" reads on C1. Although not disclosed, the recited resistive element of claim 1 (recited as a "resistor" in claim 6) would have been obvious to those having ordinary skill in the art because it is notoriously well-known in the art of semiconductor integrated circuitry that a constant bias voltage (such as N-bias in Greenhill et al) is typically generated by taking a tap point from a resistive divider. Note, for example, Gregor's resistor divider formed of resistors 34 and 36 for setting the voltage applied to the gate of NMOS transistor 30. Also note resistor 3 in Nair, which functions to step down voltage VDDE to a lower potential for constantly biasing FET 2. The motivation for

Application/Control Number: 08/925,868

Art Unit: 2816

using such resistors in Greenhill et al is simply to allow the circuit designer to accurately (and variably) set the bias voltage N-bias in Greenhill et al.

As to claims 2 and 3, the recited "buffer" and "inverter" read on inverter M2/M4 of Greenhill et al.

As to claims 4 and 5, the recited "pull-up transistor" reads on FET M3 of Greenhill et al.

As to the claims reciting the resistive element as a constantly biased active transistor (e.g. claim 7), this also would have been obvious because it is old and well-known in the art that resistive elements are formed both as discrete resistors or alternatively as constantly-biased FETs (official notice being taken by the examiner).

Claims 8-17, 19 and 20, which recite the same limitations of claims 1-7, are rejected on the same grounds noted above.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth B. Wells whose telephone number is (571)272-1757. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan,

Application/Control Number: 08/925,868

Art Unit: 2816

can be reached at (571)272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kenneth B. Wells
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2816

Page 4

April 2, 2004